

# Research Update:

# Territory of Yukon 'AA' Issuer Credit Rating Affirmed; Outlook Stable

July 9, 2025

### Overview

- The Territory of Yukon will increase its nominal debt substantially in the next few years in the face of growing infrastructure needs.
- However, as a proportion of operating revenues, the territory's debt burden will remain very manageable and low relative to that of provincial peers.
- As a result, S&P Global Ratings affirmed its 'AA' long-term issuer credit and senior unsecured debt ratings, and its 'A-1+' short-term rating on the territory.
- The stable outlook reflects our expectation that Yukon will maintain largely stable budgetary performance in the next two years, as well as adequate liquidity to cover debt service requirements, despite the expected increase in borrowing.

# **Rating Action**

On July 9, 2025, S&P Global Ratings affirmed its 'AA' long-term issuer credit rating on the Territory of Yukon. The outlook is stable. At the same time, S&P Global Ratings affirmed its 'AA' issue-level rating on the senior unsecured debt of Yukon Development Corp. (YDC), the territory's wholly owned electric utility.

### **Outlook**

The stable outlook reflects S&P Global Ratings' expectation that in the next two years, Yukon will maintain stable budgetary performance. We also expect that it will hold sufficient liquidity to meet its debt service in the next 12 months, and that its tax-supported debt burden will not materially exceed 30% of consolidated operating revenues.

### Downside scenario

We could lower the ratings if, in the next two years, Yukon demonstrated weak financial discipline

### PRIMARY CREDIT ANALYST

#### Adam J Gillespie

Toronto

+ 1 (416) 507 2565 adam.gillespie @spglobal.com

#### SECONDARY CONTACT

### Elisa Lopez cortes

Toronto

+1 416 507 2574 elisa.lopez.cortes @spglobal.com

#### RESEARCH CONTRIBUTOR

### Ekta Bhayani

CRISIL Global Analytical Center, an S&P affiliate, Mumbai

leading to significantly deteriorated budgetary performance and materially depleted free cash levels.

### Upside scenario

We could raise the ratings if Yukon's economy experienced consistent growth and broadening, improving the territory's financial flexibility and budgetary performance and mitigating the need for material additional debt beyond current expectations.

### Rationale

Yukon's steady population growth will continue to increase demand on the territory's services and infrastructure. To meet these challenges, the government has broadened its financing strategy to include greater use of borrowing, and we expect that total debt will increase substantially in the next few years. However, as a proportion of operating revenue, the debt and interest burdens will remain very manageable and low relative to those of provincial peers. As well, the extremely predictable and supportive institutional framework, along with strong direct support from the federal government, will continue to underpin Yukon's credit profile and help to offset the volatility related to economic concentration in the mining sector.

# Economic exposure to U.S. tariffs is limited but concentration in mining sector remains.

Yukon, in northwestern Canada, is one of the country's three territories and represents a very small proportion of the nation's overall population and economic output (less than 1% of each). Yukon expects its population to reach 50,000 in the next several years through stable annual growth of close to 2%. Its nominal GDP per capita is higher than the national level of about US\$54,800, given high income levels and high-value mining production in the territory; however, the economy remains exposed to concentration and volatility risks related to the mining sector.

Although concentrated, Yukon's economy is also the least exposed to trade with the U.S. relative to that of Canadian provinces, with the territory estimating that international goods exports accounted for only 4% of its GDP in 2023. Accordingly, we do not believe that Yukon is as exposed to U.S. tariffs as the rest of Canada's economy. Real GDP contracted 3.3% in 2024, and the government has forecast a further 0.3% decline in 2025, in large part due to the suspension of activity and heap leach failure at the Eagle Gold Mine in June 2024. The decrease in GDP is despite growth in other sectors, in particular, the expanding tourism sector. The territory has forecast positive real GDP growth to resume in 2026 at 1.0%, compared with our forecast of 1.5% for Canada in 2025 and 2026 (see "Economic Outlook Canada Q3 2025: U.S. Tariff Uncertainty And Slower Population Growth Weigh On Momentum," June 24, 2025).

Yukon's financial management is sound, in our view, and the overall strategic direction of the territory has been largely stable despite several changes in leadership in the past few years. The current premier, Mike Pemberton, was sworn in on June 27, 2025, following Ranj Pillai's announcement in May that he was resigning as leader of the Yukon Liberal Party and would not seek reelection. Pillai himself was acclaimed as premier in January 2023 following the early resignation of Sandy Silver. In accordance with the territory's fixed election legislation, the next general election will be held on or before Nov. 3, 2025.

The government has a track record of solid financial results supported by stable federal funding.

Yukon's consolidated budgets use realistic assumptions and provide good prospective visibility but are detailed for only one year. In December 2024, the federal government agreed to increase Yukon's borrowing limit to C\$1.2 billion from C\$800 million, in part to provide the territory with greater financial flexibility to pursue its growth-focused capital plans. We believe that the territory's cash and debt management policies remain prudent, with balances outstanding forecast to be well within legislated limits. Yukon has only one significant government-related entity, YDC, which has a strong policy rationale and operates with appropriate oversight mechanisms.

We believe that the institutional framework in which Canadian territories operate is extremely predictable and supportive and has demonstrated a high degree of institutional stability. Yukon benefits from significant revenue support from the federal government, primarily through the Territorial Formula Financing (TFF) grant, along with Canada Health Transfer and Canada Social Transfer payments. We expect total federal transfers will increase modestly in the next two years in line with growth in population and associated service demands and will account for about 83% of the territory's total revenues.

# Yukon's debt is set to rise significantly in the near term, but the debt burden will remain very manageable.

We expect that Yukon's operating surpluses will improve in the next several years, largely due to increasing federal transfers and moderating operating expenses as costs related to remediation efforts at the Eagle mine wind down. We anticipate that operating surpluses will average 4.6% of operating revenues in fiscal years 2024-2028, although spending pressures associated with ongoing population growth, particularly in health care, education, and housing will remain.

Transfers from the federal government, largely provided as unconditional grants through the TFF, supply a considerable source of stable and predictable revenue for the territory. However, in our view, the relatively small proportion of revenues from sources under direct territorial control (only 13% of total revenues come from taxes) limits its budgetary flexibility relative to that of peers.

The territory's updated five-year capital plan has moderated slightly but remains a substantial C\$1.9 billion, with much of the spending front-loaded. We expect that annual capital spending will be about C\$200 million in the next few years and that Yukon will record deficits after capital accounts averaging about 2.3% of total revenue in fiscal years 2024-2028. More than a third of capital spending will be directed to transportation infrastructure, with significant investments also in housing and land development, community and First Nations infrastructure, and education.

Higher capital spending in response to growth pressures, in addition to the extraordinary mine remediation costs, led the Yukon government to seek an increase to its borrowing limit and it now expects to borrow up to C\$400 million in long-term debt in fiscal 2026 (ended March 31). Much of the proceeds will be used to convert draws on the government's credit facilities, which are estimated to have increased to C\$300 million at the end of fiscal 2025, to long-term debt. In addition, the Eagle mine recently was put up for sale and the government hopes to recoup a significant portion of the remediation costs it has incurred.

Our measure of total tax-supported debt includes the debt of YDC, which also anticipates its net borrowings to increase in the near term. We expect total tax-supported debt to reach more than C\$820 million by the end of fiscal 2026, up from C\$231 million outstanding at the end of fiscal 2023. Although this is a significant increase in nominal terms, Yukon's debt burden remains very moderate relative to that of provinces, at slightly greater than 30% of consolidated operating

revenues in the next few years, while the interest burden of direct debt will remain very low at less than 1% of operating revenues. Risks stemming from contingent liabilities, primarily consisting of vested sick leave and vacation, severances, and known environmental liabilities, are low and neutral to the territory's credit profile.

We believe that improving after-capital balances over our base-case scenario will help to offset increased debt service costs. Excluding the planned repayment of C\$250 million of outstanding credit facility draws, which will occur only upon issuance of long-term debt, we expect that the territory's free cash and liquid assets will be sufficient to cover almost 17x the next 12 months' debt service requirements. In addition, our assessment of Yukon's liquidity is bolstered by our view that the territory has strong access to Canada's well-developed capital markets.

## **Key Statistics**

Table 1

**Territory of Yukon--Selected indicators** 

	Budget year*					
(Mil. C\$)	2022	2023	2024bc	2025bc	2026bc	2027bc
Operating revenues	1,802	1,914	2,056	2,176	2,323	2,452
Operating expenditures	1,712	1,925	1,972	2,056	2,161	2,283
Operating balance	90	(11)	84	120	163	169
Operating balance (% of operating revenues)	5.0	(0.6)	4.1	5.5	7.0	6.9
Capital revenues	101	86	85	78	62	64
Capital expenditures	280	276	240	230	184	191
Balance after capital accounts	(90)	(202)	(71)	(32)	40	42
Balance after capital accounts (% of total revenues)	(4.7)	(10.1)	(3.3)	(1.4)	1.7	1.7
Debt repaid	6	6	7	255	35	25
Gross borrowings	5	105	195	400	0	0
Balance after borrowings	(91)	(103)	117	113	4	16
Direct debt (outstanding at year-end)	26	126	315	460	424	399
Direct debt (% of operating revenues)	1.5	6.6	15.3	21.1	18.3	16.3
Tax-supported debt (outstanding at year-end)	231	373	631	822	782	753
Tax-supported debt (% of consolidated operating revenues)	12.3	18.7	29.5	36.3	32.5	29.6
Interest (% of operating revenues)	0.1	0.2	0.5	0.6	1.0	0.9
Local GDP per capita (\$)	92,756	95,242	91,500	91,484	92,289	94,598
National GDP per capita (\$)	73,221	73,192	74,411	76,949	79,611	81,950

The data and ratios above result in part from S&P Global Ratings' own calculations, drawing on national as well as international sources, reflecting S&P Global Ratings' independent view on the timeliness, coverage, accuracy, credibility, and usability of available information. The main sources are the financial statements and budgets, as provided by the issuer. bc.--Base case reflects S&P Global Ratings' expectations of the most likely scenario. \*Budget year 2024 equals fiscal year 2025.

### **Ratings Score Snapshot**

Table 2

### Territory of Yukon--Rating component scores

Key rating factors	Scores
Institutional framework	1
Economy	3
Financial management	2
Budgetary performance	4
Liquidity	1
Debt burden	2
Stand-alone credit profile	aa
Issuer credit rating	AA

S&P Global Ratings bases its ratings on non-U.S. local and regional governments (LRGs) on the six main rating factors in this table. In the Methodology For Rating Local And Regional Governments Outside Of The U.S., published on July 15, 2019, we explain the steps we follow to derive the global scale foreign currency rating on each LRG. The institutional framework is assessed on a six-point scale: 1 is the strongest and 6 is a scale foreign currency rating on each LRG. The institutional framework is assessed on a six-point scale: 1 is the strongest and 6 is a scale foreign currency rating on each LRG. The institutional framework is assessed on a six-point scale: 1 is the strongest and 6 is a scale foreign currency rating on each LRG. The institutional framework is assessed on a six-point scale: 1 is the strongest and 6 is a scale foreign currency rating on each LRG. The institutional framework is assessed on a six-point scale: 1 is the strongest and 6 is a scale foreign currency rating on each LRG. The institutional framework is assessed on a six-point scale: 1 is the strongest and 6 is a scale foreign currency rating on each LRG. The institutional framework is assessed on a six-point scale foreign currency rating on each LRG. The institutional framework is assessed on a six-point scale for each LRG. The institutional framework is a scale for each LRG. The institutional framework is a scale for each LRG. The institutional framework is a scale for each LRG. The institutional framework is a scale for each LRG. The institutional framework is a scale for each LRG. The institutional framework is a scale for each LRG. The institutional framework is a scale for each LRG. The institutional framework is a scale for each LRG. The institutional framework is a scale for each LRG. The institutional framework is a scale for each LRG. The institutional framework is a scale for each LRG. The institutional framework is a scale for each LRG. The institutional framework is a scale for each LRG. The institutional framework is a scale for each LRG. The institutional framework is a scale for each LRG. The institutional framework is a scale for each LRG. The institutional framework is a scale for each LRG. The institutional framework is a scale for each LRG. The institutional framework is a scale for eacthe weakest score. Our assessments of economy, financial management, budgetary performance, liquidity, and debt burden are on a five-point scale, with 1 being the strongest score and 5 the weakest.

# **Key Sovereign Statistics**

- Sovereign Risk Indicators, July 7, 2025. An interactive version can be found at https://disclosure.spglobal.com/sri/.

### **Related Criteria**

- General Criteria: Environmental, Social, And Governance Principles In Credit Ratings, Oct. 10,
- Criteria | Governments | International Public Finance: Methodology For Rating Local And Regional Governments Outside Of The U.S., July 15, 2019
- General Criteria: Rating Government-Related Entities: Methodology And Assumptions, March 25, 2015
- General Criteria: Principles Of Credit Ratings, Feb. 16, 2011

### **Related Research**

- Economic Outlook Canada Q3 2025: U.S. Tariff Uncertainty And Slower Population Growth Weigh On Momentum, June 24, 2025
- For Canadian Provinces, The Current Credit Complexities Are Not Just About Tariffs, March 5, 2025
- S&P Global Ratings Definitions, Dec. 2, 2024

In accordance with our relevant policies and procedures, the Rating Committee was composed of analysts that are qualified to vote in the committee, with sufficient experience to convey the appropriate level of knowledge and understanding of the methodology applicable (see 'Related Criteria And Research'). At the onset of the committee, the chair confirmed that the information provided to the Rating Committee by the primary analyst had been distributed in a timely manner and was sufficient for Committee members to make an informed decision.

After the primary analyst gave opening remarks and explained the recommendation, the Committee discussed key rating factors and critical issues in accordance with the relevant criteria. Qualitative and quantitative risk factors were considered and discussed, looking at track-record and forecasts.

The committee's assessment of the key rating factors is reflected in the Ratings Score Snapshot

The chair ensured every voting member was given the opportunity to articulate his/her opinion. The chair or designee reviewed the draft report to ensure consistency with the Committee decision. The views and the decision of the rating committee are summarized in the above rationale and outlook. The weighting of all rating factors is described in the methodology used in this rating action (see 'Related Criteria And Research').

# **Ratings List**

### Datings Affirmed

Ratings Aritimed						
Yukon (Territory of)						
Issuer Credit Rating	AA/Stable/					
Yukon Development Corp.						
Senior Unsecured	AA					

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at https://disclosure.spglobal.com/ratings/en/regulatory/ratings-criteria for further information. A description of each of S&P Global Ratings' rating categories is contained in "S&P Global  $Ratings\ Definitions"\ at\ https://disclosure.spglobal.com/ratings/en/regulatory/article/-/view/sourceId/504352.$ Complete ratings information is available to RatingsDirect subscribers at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings referenced herein can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.spglobal.com/ratings.



Copyright © 2025 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Some of the Content may have been created with the assistance of an artificial intelligence (AI) tool. Published Content created or processed using AI is composed, reviewed, edited, and approved by S&P personnel.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.spglobal.com/ratings (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.spglobal.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.